```
1 analysis?
              Yes, I do.
 2
         Α
         0
              One was DirecTV. Right?
               Did you say cable companies?
         Α
 5 DirecTV is not -
               I corrected myself, I said MVPDs.
         0
               I'm sorry.
         Α
              DirecTV is one?
 8
         0
 9
         A Yes, DirecTV.
10
        Q
              Dish?
        A Dish.
11
              AT&T?
12
         Q
              Verizon, Cox, Insight, RCN, WOW,
13
14 and Blue Ridge.
15
               JUDGE SIPPEL: Where are you
16 looking for those?
17
               THE WITNESS: It's from Dr.
18 Singer's report.
               JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got -- I
19
20 thought you were looking at Comcast 600.
               THE WITNESS: No, I went beyond
21
```

22 that. I'm sorry.

- 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is it on a document
- 2 that you have? Is it on 600, or you're just
- 3 adding these in off your head?
- 4 THE WITNESS: No, he -- let me try
- 5 to explain.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Mr. Schmidt asked me
- 8 which cable companies I looked at that did not
- 9 carry the NFL Network. And those are the six
- 10 that are right here, six large ones.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Here is what?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 600.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
- 14 THE WITNESS: And then he asked me
- 15 which -- what were the nine MVPDs that Dr.
- 16 Singer examined as part of his regression
- 17 analysis, and I then listed those. So, if you
- 18 recall Dr. Singer's chart yesterday where he
- 19 had nine and the price that they pay.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I do.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I just listed those
- 22 nine. So the overlap would be the top four.

- 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Meaning that the
- 2 top four appear on both lists?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, precisely.
- 4 Well, both this chart, and then also Dr.
- 5 Singer's analysis.
- 6 MR. SCHMIDT: If I may interrupt,
- 7 Your Honor?
- 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, please.
- 9 MR. SCHMIDT: I tried to list them
- 10 here. Hopefully, my handwriting is modestly
- 11 legible.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Well, we have to
- 13 stop for a second, because you've -- in Dr.
- 14 Singer's analysis, he's gone well below the
- 15 top ones. So, if I were to do a comparable,
- 16 you would actually stop right -- well, you'd
- 17 include Cox -
- 18 MR. SCHMIDT: I didn't ask you
- 19 that question. My question is, this is your
- 20 list of the six cable companies you listed
- 21 don't carry NFL Network. Right?
- THE WITNESS: Then, no, that's

1 not. BY MR. SCHMIDT: Do you list any other cable 4 companies in that chart that you showed that 5 don't carry the NFL Network? That's a demonstrative of further 7 information that's in here. Let's focus on that one. 8 Okay. You took the six here. Right? 10 Q 11 Α Yes. And these are the companies that 0 12 13 Dr. Singer used in his regression analysis. 14 Right? Α That is correct. 15 Okay. And what I'd like you to do 16 17 is tell me for each one, whether they have 18 substantial competition with Comcast. Does 19 Time Warner? Let's pause for a second. 20

21 Substantial competition for what?

In terms of the markets they

22

- 1 serve, the customers they serve.
- 2 A Okay. Subscribers.
- 3 Q subscribers.
- 4 A Okay. I just wanted to make sure
- 5 we're on the same terms.
- 6 Q Time Warner?
- 7 A No. None of the cable companies
- 8 overlap in terms of the service that they
- 9 provide.
- 10 O So I can scratch out all of these
- 11 in terms of competition for subscribers.
- 12 A As I described in my direct
- 13 testimony, their cable franchise areas abut,
- 14 so they do not compete directly for
- 15 subscribers.
- 16 Q Okay. Let me go through this
- 17 list. Does DirecTV?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O Does Dish?
- 20 A Yes, it does.
- 21 Q Does AT&T?
- 22 A In some of Comcast areas, not all

```
1 of them.
```

- 2 Q Verizon?
- 3 A In some of Comcast areas, not all
- 4 of them.
- 5 Q Does Cox?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Does Insight?
- 8 A Insight, I don't know if they over
- 9 build, at all. I just don't know the answer.
- 10 O Okay. I'll take it out. Does
- 11 WOW?
- 12 A WOW does, I believe, in Detroit,
- 13 but I'm not positive about that.
- 14 Q Blue Ridge?
- 15 A I don't know if they over build
- 16 for sure.
- 17 Q I'll take it out. RCN?
- 18 A RCN over builds in Philadelphia.
- 19 Q So none on your list that directly
- 20 compete for subscribers, and one, two, three,
- 21 four, five, six on Dr. Singer's list. Is that
- 22 correct?

- 1 A Right.
- 2 Q Okay. Do you have your testimony
- 3 in front of you?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q Would you mind looking, if you
- 6 would, at page 33 of your testimony?
- 7 A Sure.
- 8 Q Do you see at the top, "Price is
- 9 an important element of the value proposition
- 10 offered by a network, such as the NFL
- 11 Network". Do you see that?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q "But there are other important
- 14 components of an MVPDs assessment of any cable
- 15 network."
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 Q You're talking here about what
- 18 leads a cable company, or an MVPD to decide to
- 19 carry a network. Right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And you list some factors. Right?
- 22 A Yes, I do.

- 1 Q And the third factor is whether
- 2 competing MVPDs are carrying the network.
- 3 Correct? That's what you wrote.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Thank you. Do you remember
- 6 talking about -- do you remember Bright House
- 7 being on your list of companies?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q Do they directly -- I'm sorry.
- 10 Let me ask the question differently.
- 11 Are they responsible for making
- 12 their own carriage decisions?
- 13 A My understanding is that they do
- 14 make their own carriage decisions.
- 15 Q You do not have an understanding
- 16 that they're a subsidiary of Time Warner
- 17 partnership?
- 18 A My understanding is they were spun
- 19 out from Time Warner, that they can still
- 20 purchase programming through Time Warner, and
- 21 get the benefits of better prices that a
- 22 larger MVPD gets, and that -- but they can

- 1 also decide to independently negotiate
- 2 programming on their own terms. So, it's not
- 3 any different than, I believe, the way that
- 4 some of these cooperatives work, like NCTC.
- 5 0 What about Charter?
- 6 A Is there a question? I'm sorry?
- 7 Q No, there was not. Look at page
- 8 14 of your testimony.
- 9 A What was that?
- 10 Q If you look at page 14 of your
- 11 testimony.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Page 14.
- MR. SCHMIDT: Which has come in as
- 14 Exhibit 24, Comcast 24. Is that right, Mr.
- 15 Toscano?
- MR. TOSCANO: Yes.
- 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you.
- 18 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 19 Q You have Footnote 10, which says,
- 20 "Paul Allen owns a controlling interest in
- 21 Charter Communications, and the Seattle
- 22 Seahawks, and NFL team. Thus, even an NFL

- 1 team owner has decided to carry Versus and the
- 2 Golf channel on expanded basic, and to not
- 3 carry the NFL Network at all." Do you see
- 4 that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Do you still believe that to be
- 7 true?
- 8 A I don't know if it's part of the
- 9 bankruptcy proceeding, what's happened with
- 10 Mr. Allen's ownership stake, but throughout
- 11 the entire period, from 2000 say 5, 6, 7, my
- 12 understanding, or at some -- Charter
- 13 originally carried the programming, and then
- 14 dropped it. And since that time, they decided
- 15 they were not carrying it.
- 16 Q Okay. So, at one point they did
- 17 the carry the NFL Network. Right?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q How much credit did you give them
- 20 in that table you had for originally carrying
- 21 the NFL Network?
- 22 A My understanding is they dropped

- 1 it, according to the documents, because of the
- 2 combination of the high price, and high
- 3 carriage targets that they had to reach.
- 4 Q My question is, how much credit
- 5 did you give them?
- 6 A I didn't give them any credit,
- 7 because I was looking at it for 2008.
- 8 Q And you understand they're in
- 9 bankruptcy in 2008. Right?
- 10 A But I fully expect them to still
- 11 be profit maximizing.
- 12 Q My question is, do you understand
- 13 that they were in bankruptcy in 2008?
- 14 A Yes.
- MR. TOSCANO: Objection.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: What?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Actually, I don't
- 18 know -
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait, wait, wait,
- 20 wait, wait.
- MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Orszag referred
- 22 to a bankruptcy.

- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I heard the
- 2 word bankruptcy. Where did I hear -- I think
- 3 I hear it coming -
- 4 THE WITNESS: I think you asked me
- 5 whether I understood that they were in
- 6 bankruptcy.
- 7 MR. SCHMIDT: No. I asked you if
- 8 your footnote was correct, and you started
- 9 talking about bankruptcy.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll agree
- 11 with you, if I did. The transcript will
- 12 reflect it.
- MR. SCHMIDT: We can read back the
- 14 transcript.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the
- 16 transcript -- the way this transcript is
- 17 going, I don't know what it's going to
- 18 reflect. Can we back up, and slow down just
- 19 a little bit?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And let's go back
- 22 to this footnote, and find out what it -- and

- 1 develop what you're trying to get out of this,
- 2 please.
- BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 4 Q I'm looking at Footnote 10, where
- 5 you say, "Even an NFL team owner has decided
- 6 the team owner has decided to carry Versus
- 7 and the Golf channel on expanded basic, and to
- 8 not carry the NFL Network at all." My first
- 9 question is, did you know Charter was in
- 10 bankruptcy?
- 11 A And the answer is no, I do not.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A I note it. Did you say "note"?
- 14 Q Did you know?
- 15 A I noted they're in bankruptcy, and
- 16 I did not note it.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you know it
- 18 when you made the footnote? When you prepared
- 19 the footnote, did you know it?
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I
- 21 knew it at that time. I saw it in one of the
- 22 documents that was on the exhibit list.

- 1 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 2 Q But what I was really get at is,
- 3 you've been here throughout all the testimony.
- 4 Correct?
- 5 A Yes, I have. Well, not all of it,
- 6 but the vast majority of it. QYou were here
- 7 when Mr. Hawkins was testifying, weren't you?
- 8 A I was.
- 9 Q Were you here when he said that
- 10 Mr. Allen had recused himself from any
- 11 consideration of whether Charter should carry
- 12 the NFL Network?
- 13 A I was here for that.
- 14 Q So, he did not make a decision not
- 15 to carry the NFL Network. Correct?
- 16 A A fact that I did not know that he
- 17 recused himself. What I did know at the time
- 18 that I wrote that a team owner had a
- 19 controlling interest in a cable company, and
- 20 that cable company had decided not to.
- 21 O But he recused himself. You now
- 22 know that. Right?

- 1 A I now know that.
- 2 Q Wouldn't you expect a publicly
- 3 held company, someone in Mr. Allen's position,
- 4 to recuse himself from a decision regarding
- 5 the NFL Network?
- 6 A It's not something that I've sort
- 7 of considered, so I have no sort of basis for
- 8 making a conclusion about that.
- 9 Q Do you recall that there was a
- 10 period of time when -- let's take a step back,
- 11 if we could.
- Do you have an understanding as to
- 13 what programming on the NFL Network is the
- 14 most valuable portion of the NFL Network?
- 15 A To whom, value to whom?
- 16 Q To MVPDs in terms of what they pay
- 17 for it?
- 18 A The eight-game package.
- 19 Q And that's the eight-game package
- 20 we've been talking about that Versus actually
- 21 tried to purchase. Right?
- 22 A That is correct.

- 1 Q And just so we have it all clear
- 2 for the record, Versus is a channel that's
- 3 owned by Comcast. Right?
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q And it used to be called "The
- 6 Outdoor Life Network".
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q When I asked you about your
- 9 attempt to value how much the NFL Network was
- 10 worth, the right price for the NFL Network, I
- 11 asked you if you had considered the amount of
- 12 money that Comcast had offered to pay the NFL
- 13 to get the eight-game package on Versus, and
- 14 your answer was you didn't consider that.
- 15 Right?
- 16 A Precisely, because the -- I assume
- 17 that Mr. Toscano will then ask me why.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you know how much it
- 19 was?
- 20 A Sitting here today, I can't give
- 21 you a precise estimate, precise number.
- 22 Q Do you have an understanding that

- 1 Comcast was willing to pay over for
- 2 the eight-game package over five years, plus
- 3 other interest?
- 4 A That sounds about right.
- 5 Q Well, let me -- I don't want you
- 6 to have to take my word for it. Let me
- 7 introduce another -- offer you another
- 8 exhibit, which has been introduced.
- 9 MR. SCHMIDT: If I may approach,
- 10 Your Honor?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you may. This
- 12 would be for -- now, that would be for
- over five -- for five years
- 14 of the eight-game package?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
- 17 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 18 Q This is Exhibit 89.
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's in or out?
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: It's already in,
- 21 which is an October 14th, 2005 offer from
- 22 Comcast to the NFL Network, or to the NFL.

- BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 2 Q Have you seen this document
- 3 before, Mr. Orszag?
- 4 A I've seen a variety -- it seems
- 5 like there are probably a hundred of these
- 6 various presentations, and I've seen a variety
- 7 of them. Whether I've seen this specific one,
- 8 I can't tell you sitting here today, but I've
- 9 seen a variety of these.
- 10 Q Let's look at, it's actually the
- 11 third page of this document, but it's number
- 12 two at the bottom, Bates number 113939. And
- 13 do you see there's a heading that says,
- 14 "Economic terms of proposal", at the top of
- 15 the page numbered 939?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Judge, are you with
- 18 us?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, he is.
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: It's confusing.
- BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 22 Q Do you see that Comcast is

```
1 offering a rights fee to the NFL for these
 2 eight games?
         Α
               Well, it actually does say the
 4 eight games right here, so I'm assuming that
 5 it does -- that it is eight games, if you want
 6 me to assume that. But, looking here, I can't
 7 -- it doesn't say it right on this page.
               Look at two pages over. Do you
         Q
 9 see that the rights would cover eight regular
10 and two pre-season games?
               I do see that.
11
         Α
               And what's the amount Comcast was
12
         0
13 willing to pay in the first year for those
14 games?
15
         A
16
               And it goes up to
         Q
17 the fifth year?
18
         Α
                                      if you
19 round, but yes.
               Thank you. And what's the total
20
21 package of just money that Comcast was willing
```

22 to pay for these eight games, if only it could

- 1 get them to run on its Versus channel?
- 2 A
- 3 Q Right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And then do you see that Comcast
- 6 was willing to give the NFL an equity interest
- 7 in its channel?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q And have you read Mr. Shell's
- 10 testimony, where he estimates that equity
- 11 interest to be close to by itself?
- 12 A Well, it says it actually right
- 13 here.
- 14 Q Okay. So, it's about
- 15 so far?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And do you see where in the next
- 18 line Comcast actually promised to give the NFL
- 19 better carriage of the NFL Network, if it
- 20 would surrender these games to Versus, instead
- 21 of putting them on the NFL Network?
- 22 A I see that they are offering

- 1 moving them, or paying them for
- 2 incremental Comcast subscribers.
- 3 Q Now, I think you've offered a view
- 4 that the diversity of Comcast's programming
- 5 that covers Versus' programming, that it
- 6 covers different sports is important. Right?
- 7 A Well, it's important in the sense
- 8 that it provides value throughout the year.
- 9 Q Okay. My question is, are you
- 10 aware that Comcast told the NFL Network, if
- 11 you give us these games for the second , we
- 12 will make Versus into a football channel. Did
- 13 you know that?
- 14 A I've seen documents to that
- 15 effect, but there have been lots of proposals
- 16 that were tossed around, and lots of
- 17 variations of those proposals.
- 18 Q Let me show you one of those
- 19 documents.
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: This is another
- 21 document that's been marked in evidence, Your
- 22 Honor. If I may approach?

- JUDGE SIPPEL: Please. This is in
- 2 evidence already?
- 3 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir. It's
- 4 Exhibit 90.
- 5 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 6 Q This is a November 2nd, 2005
- 7 proposal from Comcast to the NFL?
- 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Say that again.
- 9 MR. SCHMIDT: This is a set of
- 10 slides that reflects a November 2nd, 2005
- 11 proposal to the NFL.
- 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: From Comcast to
- 13 NFL?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir.
- 15 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 16 Q For the eight games. Do you see
- 17 that, Mr. Orszag?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q Have you seen this before?
- 20 A I've seen very similar documents.
- 21 Again, I don't know if it's precisely this
- 22 document, or the next variation, because they

- 1 all start to look alike at some point.
- 2 Q Look at the fifth page of this
- 3 document, if you would.
- 4 A Sure.
- 5 Q Do you see at the top this slide
- 6 says, "We reiterate our proposal to the NFL"?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q And do you see that it contains
- 9 the same monetary terms, and the same promise
- 10 of favorable coverage for the NFL Network
- 11 without the eight games?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q So, again, Comcast is offering
- 14 for these games?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q By the way, were you -- there was
- 17 a question yesterday. I think it was asked of
- 18 Dr. Singer, about how much it would cost
- 19 Comcast to carry the games at our price over
- 20 the five-year term of proposed carriage, and
- 21 a figure was thrown out, . Was
- 22 that you that calculated that figure?

- 1 A No, it was not.
- 2 Q Because it said it came from one
- 3 of the experts, and I want to make sure I
- 4 understand who that -
- 5 A I actually think it said one of
- 6 the economists.
- 7 Q Okay. Did it come from someone on
- 8 your staff?
- 9 A I believe it did. It's not
- 10 something that before it was provided to
- 11 counsel that I had seen.
- 12 Q Okay. Fair enough. And you agree
- 13 with me that is far below
 - . Right?
- 15 A Well, they're apples and oranges
- 16 you're comparing.
- 17 Q Fair enough. You'll be able to
- 18 say that. My question is, it's far below,
- 19 isn't it?
- 20 A Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if it's
- 22 apples and oranges, let's straighten it out

- 1 here. Did I understand that number yesterday
- 2 came from the -- was it -- on the D2
- 3 penetration, times the , 12
- 4 times 12, and all that kind of stuff?
- 5 MR. SCHMIDT: I believe it was on
- 6 expanded basic, and that it was -
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's D2. Right?
- 8 MR. SCHMIDT: No, that's -
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, that's the one
- 10 up from D2.
- 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir. Yes, but
- 12 it was otherwise exactly the math I think Your
- 13 Honor laid out, times ,
- 14 times 12, times 5.
- 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right,
- 16 because the D2 was -- I think the penetration
- 17 was around on the D2.
- 18 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. That's
- 19 correct, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And
- 21 that number was what, and what ??
- MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Orszag, can you